

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/846,980		04/30/2001	Stephen A. Stockman	M-9635 US	3906
32566	7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
PATENT LAW GROUP LLP 2635 NORTH FIRST STREET				SONG, MATTHEW J	
SUITE 223				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	SAN JOSE, CA 95134			1722	
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS **AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 09/846,980 Filing Date: April 30, 2001

Appellant(s): STOCKMAN ET AL.

MAILED

JUN 0 1 2005

GROUP 1700

Rachel Leiterman For Appellant

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 4/6/2004.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,980

Art Unit: 1722

(10) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 6, 9, 11, 36, 39 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bour et al. (US 5,926,726) in view of Koike et al. (US 5,811,319) and Furukawa et al (US 6,017,807) as applied to claim 1, 3-5, 12-30, 31-35 and 42-60 above, and further in view of Takatani (US 6,100,174).

The combination of Bour et al, Furukawa et al and Koike et al teach all of the limitations of claim 6, except chemically etching said surface.

In a method of producing GaN group compound semiconductors, Takatani teaches a p-GaN layer epitaxially grown on a sapphire substrate, with about 10¹⁹ cm⁻³ of Mg added thereto for providing a carrier density of about 1.5 x 10¹⁷ cm⁻³, where carrier density reads on applicant's term of hole density. Takatani also teaches subjecting the surface of the p-GaN layer to ultrasonic cleaning in acetone and ethanol, thereby removing the oil present thereon and then immersing in an etchant containing HCl and deionized water for about 3 minutes, thereby removing the adsorbed oxide and then the substrate is immersed in an etchant containing HF and deionized water for about 3 minutes thereby removing impurities adhering to the surface, this reads on applicant's limitation of chemically etching. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention taught by the combination of Bour, Furukawa et al and Koike with Takatani's etching because it would have removed impurities and adsorbed oxygen from the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,980

Art Unit: 1722

Referring to claim 9 and 39, Takatani teaches immersing a p-GaN substrate in an etchant containing HCl and deionized water for about 3 minutes, thereby removing the adsorbed oxide and then the substrate is immersed in an etchant containing HF and deionized water for about 3 minutes thereby removing impurities adhering to the surface. This reads on applicant's limitation of chemically cleaning said surface.

Referring to claim 11 and 41, the combination of Bour et al, Furukawa et al and Koike et al teaches all of the limitations of claim 11, except ultrasonically cleaning said surface. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention taught by the combination of Bour et al, Furukawa et al and Koike et al with Takatani's ultrasonic cleaning because it would have removed the oil present on the surface of the substrate, which is detrimental to the surface.

Claim 10 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bour et al. (US 5,926,726) in view of Koike et al. (US 5,811,319), Furukawa et al (US 6,017,807) and Takatani (US 6,100,174) as applied to claims 6, 9, 11, 36, 39 and 41 above, and further in view of Peng et al. (US 5,895,223).

The combination of Bour et al, Koike et al, Furukawa et al and Takatani teach all of the limitations of claim 10, expect the cleaning of said surface comprises cleaning in a solution of KOH, NaOH or NH₄OH.

In a method of etching nitride, Peng et al teaches dipping a nitride chip in an electrolysis liquid and emitting a UV light with a wavelength of 254 nm to illuminate the nitride chip (col 3, ln 40-46), where the electrolysis liquid can be one of KOH as the nitride chip is GaN. It would

Art Unit: 1722

have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Bour et al, Koike et al, Furukawa et al and Takatani with Peng's because the etching method of Peng offers a finer roughness for an etching surface (col 4, ln 20-24).

Claim 13 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bour et al. (US 5,926,726) in view of Koike et al. (US 5,811,319) and Furukawa et al (US 6,017,807) as applied to claims 1, 3-5, 12-30, 31-35 and 42-60 above, and further in view of Peng et al. (US 5,895,223).

The combination of Bour et al, Furukawa et al and Koike et al teach all of the limitations of claim 13, expect exposing said surface to electromagnetic radiation.

In a method of etching nitride, Peng et al teaches dipping a nitride chip in an electrolysis liquid and emitting a UV light with a wavelength of 254 nm to illuminate the nitride chip (col 3, ln 40-46), this reads on applicant's limitation of exposing to electromagnetic radiation, where the electrolysis liquid can be one of KOH as the nitride chip is GaN. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Bour et al, Koike et al and Furukawa et al with Peng's because the UV light would illuminate the nitride chip.

Claim 7-8 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bour et al. (US 5,926,726) in view of Koike et al. (US 5,811,319) and Furukawa et al (US 6,017,807) as applied to claims 1, 3-5, 12-30, 31-35 and 42-60 above, and further in view of Nitta et al (US 5,789,265).

The combination of Bour et al, Furukawa et al and Koike et al teach all of the limitations

of claim 7, expect plasma etching said surface.

In a method of manufacturing a blue light emitting diode, Nitta et al. teaches a dry

etching method for GaN based semiconductor compounds can be achieved by the plasma etching

using BCl₃ and Cl₂, where said GaN based semiconductor comprises p-type In_xGa_{1-x}N (col 4, ln

41-55). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify the combination of Bour et al, Furukawa et al and Koike et al with Nitta et al

because etching rate can be increased and productivity enhanced.

Referring to claim 8, Nitta et al. teaches a dry etching method for GaN based

semiconductor compounds, this reads on applicant's limitation of plasma cleaning said surface.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew J Song

Examiner Art Unit 1722

MJS

May 26, 2005

ROBERT KUNEMUND

PATENT LAW GROUP LLP 2635 NORTH FIRST STREET

SUITE 223 SAN JOSE, CA 95134